



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 60 No. 03

2<sup>nd</sup> February 2024

## IN THIS ISSUE

A New Tool For A New Age By Arnis Luks	1
Hooligans Given More Airtime	2
Teach A Man To Fish	3
What Ownership Does	3

## A NEW TOOL FOR A NEW AGE By Arnis Luks

Viv Forbes latest press release considered a long-term water-management-strategy for Australia.

Found here: <https://richardsonpost.com/vivforbes/34657/stop-wasting-water/>

In discussions with another contact on this same issue, he highlighted that, while we appear to have rampant incompetence throughout our bureaucracy and government, it is always in the end about the lack of control of our money.

Many government projects are subject to massive cost blow-outs; with Snowy II readily coming to mind. I'm convinced there is a significant amount of 'make work' programs, however, the biggest bureaucratic failure is generally to adhere to legitimate project concept, design alternatives, engineering and viability standards from the get-go i.e. build it to a standard and in the national interest first time. Not from a whiteboard with little, if any pre-thought. Nothing stops us from doing this except for lack of money, our own money and possibly debt free money, with the debt to be written down across the life cycle of the project. This lack of money was not always so. There is an entire branch of suppressed history covering the establishment of our own Commonwealth Bank 1911-1924 inclusive, financing significant infrastructure projects, and processing the First World War effort, all done debt free.

Government expediency concentrates predominantly on what will achieve victory for the next election, or worse still – do what pleases the media (*owners-ed*). There is no legitimate forward-thinking as to the long-term viability and security for our nation.

The 1998 Auckland power crisis, makes for interesting reading: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1998\\_Auckland\\_power\\_crisis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1998_Auckland_power_crisis) was a demonstration of transferring a public utility into private hands that, through error of judgement, shut down the business heart of a major city for five weeks. Capital outlay to ensure utility-security took a back seat to short-term company profit. In a lot of ways, the CEO of each major corporation could readily fall into the same expedient perspective, rather than the long-term viability and profitability of the company. Qantas may have been a candidate. 'Get the books to look good for this year so bonuses are up! Long term, I won't be here!' Our ongoing energy crisis is entirely self inflicted and deliberate policy - shorting for profit. But whose profit?

Before I started to build my current home, I took the perspective that if I could build it to the right standard, it would last more than 100 years, then that is what I should do. This home is not just for my generation, but generations to come. Not everyone is in as fortunate a position to be able to think like this. It is always about the money.

Quite often I refer to the engineering standards used in industry and rotating equipment. Tilt-pad radial and thrust bearings are designed to place a pressured wedge of oil between rotating surfaces especially during start-up and heavy loads. A pre-lubricating system ensures oil is up to pressure and temperature before the interlock allows a piece of rotating equipment to start. A similar design for car engines, which would not cost that much at all, would probably double or even triple the life-cycle of an engine and the other rotating parts of the car. Piston rings for example find some amounts of lubrication from the oil splashed about in the crankshaft region.

Reciprocating compressor seals often have a barrier gas and ceramic rings to ensure the life-cycle of the compressor and the piston rod. The engineering is there, but expediency or profit factor determine the design. This, in my opinion, is the most gross environmental scandal of this modern era. We don't design things to last the 100 years. Privatised industry moves away from the engineering standard to the short-term profit, managing our vital infrastructure based on assessed-risk rather than with an engineered safety margin. It is always about the money.

While I agree with Viv's article in principle about a viable and enduring water management policy, ***without an adequate financial policy***, based on issuing our own new credits, as and when necessary, and even debt free in some cases – we will never resolve this issue of long-term water security, nor any other public utility, for the long term. PM Malcolm Turnbull savaged the MDBA *locus standi* to shorten the water-market for profit, rather than security of water tenure for all Australians. This selfish perspective for profit changed our once self-reliant policy-objective to one of jostling for market share. This perspective suits the bankers and financiers at the expense of all other Australians. It needn't be so if we so wanted. It is all about policy silly.

Money is simply a means to ensure costs are reconciled, or so it should be. Neither Neoliberalism, nor Libertarianism provide any viable financial answer to this riddle, except more monopoly. This being the very opposite of what is needed.

Water, as every other vital utility, should be affordable, reliable (in that it is always on tap), and sustainable (in that there should be the necessary infrastructure in place and proven reliable to ensure we never run out, but simply redirect from several alternative source/options to top up supply when needed). Should there be a natural weather event such as a drought, pestilence, or crop failure, then all the necessary resources can be re-directed to protect food as the necessity for life, and industry for national security, and the environment to ensure other creatures and ourselves can coexist in harmony. ***Droughts and Flooding Rains!*** It isn't rocket science, although listening to politicians you may have thought it so.

Snowy II money could have seen a redirection inland of the headwaters around Toowoomba for instance, instead of covering a small window of 'peak power demands' with a giant battery of stored-water. Actually, a well thought through design and concept-stage could have covered both scenarios well. What the Dickens were you thinking Malcolm?

Our politicians are a captured and/or selfish species. They can also be between a rock and a hard place. The rock would be those who control the international financial system, and us, the voting public being the hard place. I noted with the election of the new government in New Zealand the first declaration by the new NZ Prime Minister Mark Luxon, even before forming a coalition government, was to declare/confirm that the Minister of Finance seat was filled by the bankers' man who happened to be a woman Nicola Willis. This was a non-negotiable admission that we don't have control of the political processes, nor appointments. The bankers do.

**Hooligans Given More Airtime**

Western Australia Liberal MP Andrew Hastie in his newsletter stands proudly with his family in front of the Australian flag for Australia Day. The hooligans

who damaged the statue of Captain Cook and defaced the remaining pillar were given more airtime in the mainstream media than MP Andrew Hastie. Every Australian was insulted by the vandalism and the media ensured that that insult was shared around. We are to feel collective guilt for past wrongdoings. What a load of crock.

None of us were born 200 years ago. We only have the now to live and do the right thing. Any anomalies or injustices are squarely on the shoulders of those who were there and participated, based on the facts, and not concocted fables, just as the vandalism on the statue of Captain Cook is entirely the responsibility of those hooligans who performed the deed. We are not collectively guilty of vandalising the statue. Yet the media would ensure that the perpetrators are presented in some type of justifiable and positive light as if they come from a downtrodden and subjugated people, instead of the reality that those perpetrators may have come from, rather, a privileged position, and that we are all in this mix together. Our society is egalitarian, none is afore nor inferior to any other.

The real hooligans are in suits, those cultural professors, creative historians, and media celebrities who fabricate or exaggerate in order to denigrate. From behind their secure tenure or pay-cheque, which is another story of 'who the Dickens put them there in the first place,' they fabricate and load bullets, from which the not so under-privileged young from our universities become so frustrated they find it necessary to take to the streets. It is no surprise that the university capital of Australia, the Victorian city of Melbourne, was where the largest demonstrations took place against Australia Day. As James Reed never tires in saying on our ALOR blog, the universities need to be atrophied, de-funded, and closed. It is from these cesspools of cultural iniquity that the revolutionary ferment exudes. Call it out for what it truly is – un-Australian and of a revolutionary nature. How can our ABC even exist in its current un-Australian form and under both governments, I often wonder. I remember the Soviet leaders always describing any political dissenters as hooligans. But in fact, the real hooligans are those in suits who would tear society apart. They cannot build anything. There is not a creative bone in their body.

The revolutionaries, who really are the hooligans, have set about to tear down and destroy every good that has ever been done by our predecessors. I believe in CIR, in this instance the 'recall' mechanism. These protected and tenured professors and personalities need to be outed and sent packing back to wherever it was that they came from. Patriotic Australians of equal qualification should be the first replacement choice. In the end the whole sordid debacle is un-Australian and needs to be called out as such. Cancel culture is a direct affront against everything to do with traditional Australia and our many

significant and hard fought for past-achievements.

With all the security measures that our governments have placed round about since Covid, I find it difficult to accept that the police were unable, but rather unwilling to make a speedy arrest for this act of vandalism of the Capt. James Cook statue. The political objective of this vandalism is to impose or inflict a collective guilt on all Australians not of Aboriginal ancestry. And yet the real fight, if there is a fight, is not between the Aboriginals and the rest of Australia. The real fight is against those political powers that would bring about cultural and civic degradation, while the remaining 20% of Australian Aboriginals not already within mainstream society, are being held psychologically dependant or virtually captive in their remote collective camps.

### **Teach A Man To Fish**

The Land Rights movement is designed to place a cultural-wedge between Aboriginals and all other Australians, but not even all Aboriginals. Only those Aboriginals unable or increasingly in need of extrication of themselves from the missions. The lucky ones get to manage the land rights Corporations, being spoon fed from trans-national corporations exploiting the natural assets and resources. The not so lucky ones find little or no escape from their encampment. If our government officials, and those who control the money paid to those land rights Corporations in royalties, were genuinely trying to lift those traditional Aboriginals out of the hunter-gatherer ancient culture that existed when Europeans first arrived, they would ensure that a healthy diet, clean water, legitimate education (not the grievance industry), and some type of trade or craft be taught to every able-bodied person living in these collectives, so as to build their own homes and gardens, and to provide sustenance for themselves and even others. But better still, they would also ensure that everyone who resides there, would be given every opportunity of owning their own home, their own piece of land that they could attend and nurture and draw sustenance from. But they wont, just as the major parties won't deal with the real issues experienced by their own supporter base.

### **What Ownership Really Does**

This past week I finally visited my son. We keep in contact regularly on the phone, but I haven't visited his home since he purchased it sometime back. I held back the customary house-warming because I was terrified of the levels of debt that he had inflicted upon himself and his family. I am still terrified of those levels of debt, but he and his family, since arriving, have nurtured this little cottage and it looks as if it is loved. The lawns are managed, the fruit trees are pruned, the inside of the home has a welcome feel about it. Why shouldn't every Australian have this same opportunity, but without the infliction of irredeemable debt upon 'them and future generations' just to pay it off, when the home was physically constructed some 40 years ago.

The natural-world-debt was paid with its construction. It was paid when the original block was cleared and the home built, the trees planted, and the lawn set.

My son is putting his own mark on this home by constructing new outbuildings and performing a steady amount of required maintenance. In the end, you may think correctly as I do, that the home owns you as much as you own the home. This same answer of debt-redeemable home-ownership is a must, not a 'dream', for all Australians, not just my own son and his family.

Life is good for some, but not for all. It doesn't have to be this way. Why must some be indebted for generations, while others seem to be able to ride the system and catapult themselves forward with little effort? Surely, all should be allowed to receive something from this modern age, which has supplanted most community-functions within industry and manufacturing. The robots, the advanced control, and all those other forms of the industrial arts, the vast mineral reserves from the earth, all coming together so that an abundance can be produced for all by reducing amounts of physical engagement by man. It's not a matter of holding back those who are able to ride the system, but rather elevate every individual into a position of sufficiency, that they should claim as part of their own legitimate entitlement, their personal cultural inheritance. This is what Douglas envisaged with his concept correctly called Social Credit - the increment of association being distributed equally to all in the form of a National Dividend, and the Consumer Price Discount at the point-of-sale – the marketplace.

We are social beings. We come together to form communities, firstly for security, but also for the increment that comes from that association. Rubbish collection, civic spaces, maintenance, law and order are all parts of that increment of association. Not everyone contributes equally to civic functions. That is just the nature of the way things are. We are not robots but unique individuals.

Giant civilisational leaps forward are always produced by outstanding individuals, not the collective, nor the group. We usually acknowledge them by attributing their name to the invention or the cultural gift bestowed upon society. Watt, Faraday, Tesla, Marconi, Shakespeare or Beethoven are significant examples of individuals gifting society with their genius. CH Douglas also falls into this arena of contributing to all of society from his own individual excellence.

The science of CH Douglas' Social Credit is the discipline of how things affect human society, and how to arrange them in such a manner as to optimise their benefit for all. Not just the few, who ride the system for their individual benefit, but for all.

The poor are not poor because the rich are rich. The poor are poor because the financial system is rigged to keep them poor. Should the financial system be altered sufficiently to issue a debt-free National Dividend

equally to all, this would cause the release of the real cultural inheritance to be adequately distributed. Those manipulators of the financial system who ride the system for their personal gain would most certainly be pulled back from their mischief. Even then, it would still be unnecessary to take anything from anyone in order to distribute the continuously-expanding-bounty that we can produce every single day.

This is where most miss the mark. They don't solve the social riddle of this age. The jostling for position to ride the system is redundant in this modern age of advanced industrialisation. But for Covid, Climate, and the Environmental propaganda, we would all be compelled to focus on the ramifications of this advanced industrialisation. The propaganda keeps drawing us away to beer and circuses.

We must discipline ourselves to focus on what is real, and not propaganda at all. Such as the harnessing of heat energy into engines, and the harnessing of electrical energy into rotating motors, each advancement catapulted us further into advanced industrialisation. Yet we appear incapable of harvesting this bounty of the robotised-machine-age-manufacturing to share the fruits of production equitably. This is a cultural question that requires a social answer.

The puritan perspective of *'he who does not work shall not eat'* is redundant. The machine can do the work. Every individual human being is of such profound worth that God's material bounty is available for all – *'Consider the lilies of the field....'* *Matt 6:25* It is not meant to be confiscated and controlled by the few.

The purpose of production is consumption. It is meant to be distributed so that it is consumed. The financial system is Jerry-rigged to stop this releasing of reality. We are called to seek out and release reality – *The Truth Will Set You Free*. The providence of Almighty God is available for all mankind, every race and every culture provided the financial system is re-adjusted to reflect the TRUE nature of reality, one of bounty for all. Yes, this is a Christian message and I make no apologies for it. *'You cannot serve both God and mammon, for you will cling...'*

Just as slavery became spiritually apparent to the whole world that it is abhorrent. That the individual is of such intrinsic value, that regardless of their circumstances they are not permitted to enter into slavery. So, a National Dividend issued to all would neutralise nearly every circumstance that life plays out against anyone. A sufficiency would be found at the marketplace for each person regardless of life's circumstances.

Those remote Aboriginals, deliberately suppressed in their collective societies, would hold an equal claim on the productive system as the entrepreneur, or the inventor. Their real enemies to advancement are in suits and not from their own people at all. All should have a sufficiency, not just those elite Aboriginals who control

the royalties from the land rights Corporations, let alone the exploitive trans-national corporations and central bankers that come to raid and pillage every nation of their mineral and natural reserves for their own selfish exploitation. All would have a sufficiency under the Douglas Social Credit proposals.

The Australian Labor Party will never reconcile to this concept proposed by CH Douglas, because their support base needs to be in a position of reliance on taxation-from-some as the means to provide sustenance-for-their-base. Similarly, the Liberal Party of Australia proposes to limit government to release profits (for the elites), while the middle class never actually achieve financial security nor material self-reliance. This occurs because monopolies swallow up the profits by increasing charges.

'Interest plus principal' on loans (created out of nothing and pretended as their own property by bankers), place an expanding (debt) claim against existing community-based-production. This causes inflation, being an expansion of the money supply with no corresponding expansion from the productive processes.

All political parties rely on their base never actually achieving financial and material independence, which is exactly what the CH Douglas proposal does achieve, in intent and actuality, a National Dividend issued as (debt-free) credits, able to purchase basic material security, with a corresponding release from existing generational and irredeemable debt. It is always about the money.

#### **A New Set of Constitutional Tools to Limit Power.**

*Matt 6:25: Therefore I say to you, be not solicitous for your life, what you shall eat, nor for your body, what you shall put on. Is not the life more than the meat: and the body more than the raiment? Behold the birds of the air, for they neither sow, nor do they reap, nor gather into barns: and your heavenly Father feedeth them. Are not you of much more value than they? And which of you by taking thought, can add to his stature by one cubit? And for raiment why are you solicitous? Consider the lilies of the field, how they grow: they labour not, neither do they spin. But I say to you, that not even Solomon in all his glory was arrayed as one of these. And if the grass of the field, which is to day, and to morrow is cast into the oven, God doth so clothe: how much more you, O ye of little faith? Be not solicitous therefore, saying, What shall we eat: or what shall we drink, or wherewith shall we be clothed? For after all these things do the heathens seek. For your Father knoweth that you have need of all these things. Seek ye therefore first the kingdom of God, and his justice, and all these things shall be added unto you.*

Postal Address: **PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.**  
Telephone: **08 8322 8923** eMail: **heritagebooks@alor.org**  
Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom  
Movement "Archives" :: **<https://alor.org/>**  
**On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks**  
**13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.**